ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST, OF TX
FT. WORTH DIVISION

2013 MAY -9 PM 2:57

ELLEK OF COURT

4-13 C V=382-A

ERNESTO RODRIGUEZ,

PLAINTIFF

\$

V.

CASA, INC. and
CATHOLIC CHARITIES of FORT
WORTH
DEFENDANT

\$

COMPLAINT

On June 8, 2011, Plaintiff, ERNESTO RODRIGUEZ, along with 40 other residents, filed a complaint with Defendant, CASA, INCORPORATED, concerning a resident who had threatened Plaintiff and other residents. The complaint explained that the resident had threatened to "kill" other residents and their pets, as well as the derogatory statements and comments that the resident had made to Plaintiff and other minority residents. In the complaint, Plaintiff also states that "the majority of the residents here have lost faith in the staff who seemingly don't care to read their complaints and resolve the problems presented to them".

On July 31, 2012, Plaintiff was in the community room playing pool, while another resident was The resident told Plaintiff to stop playing pool and to leave the facilitating an art class. community room. Plaintiff refused to leave the community room and explained to the resident that he receives instructions from staff and management only. Further, Plaintiff explained that no other residents had been asked to leave, regardless of their participation in the art class. Shortly thereafter, Lynne Yeoman, employee for Defendant, entered the community room and approached Plaintiff and instructed him to leave the community room. Plaintiff requested that Yeoman talk to everyone in the room, particularly the residents participating in the art class. Yeoman refused and instructed him to leave once again. Plaintiff then remarked that Yeoman had not questioned any of the Anglo residents, who were not participating in the art class- those residents were allowed to remain in the community room. In response, Plaintiff took photographs of the residents that remained in the community room, even residents that were not participating in the art class. Plaintiff then approached Yeoman, Monica Quiroz, and Opal Barrett, all employees for Defendant, and explained that he had photos to show that Anglo residents were being allowed to use the community room and he was not. Quiroz then instructed Plaintiff to refrain from taking photographs, but Plaintiff asserted that he would need

the photographs to prove that he was the victim of "discrimination". Plaintiff then filed a complaint and gave it to Martha Perez, employee for Defendant.

On August 20, 2012, Plaintiff received correspondence from Defendant, alleging that he had violated the "lease agreement and house rules and/or policies". Specifically, the correspondence explains that, on August 7, 2012 and August 14, 2012, three individuals had complained of Plaintiff taking photographs. Quiroz is the author of the correspondence. She explains that she'd instructed Plaintiff not to take photographs.

In the same correspondence, Quiroz alleged that Plaintiff had been accused of using abusive language during a confrontation with the same resident from the incident on July 31, 2012. The resident came into the lobby area and then called Plaintiff an "illegal alien" and asked, "Where were you born?" Plaintiff then responded, "Maybe you are the illegal alien!" Plaintiff used no other language and retaliating in no other fashion. The resident, who was in a wheel chair, began to approach Plaintiff and the security guard then grabbed the resident's chair and redirected her toward the elevator. As the resident was leaving, she turned to Plaintiff "shook her fist" at him.

Following this incident, Plaintiff received correspondence from Defendant that accused him of violating a community rule by using a particular elevator. Plaintiff explained that he was unaware of the rule and that all residents had been allowed to use that elevator.

On October 9, 2012, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and alleged that Defendant was operating discriminatorily based on national origin of residents and retaliating against him for filing previous complaints. Fort Worth Human Relations Commission performed an investigation and, in correspondence dated February 28, 2013, determined that "no reasonable cause" exists to believe that Defendant was discriminating or retaliating against Plaintiff.

On April 3, 2013, Plaintiff received correspondence from Defendant alleging that he had violated his lease agreement "interfering with management's efforts to manage the operations of the facility". Specifically, Quiroz accused Plaintiff of making "false allegations, circumventing the complaints and grievance process with Defendant, and encouraging other residents to circumvent community policies and rules.

NOW, Plaintiff files this complaint and asserts that Defendant has acted discriminatorily, through its agents, in managing and operating the resident community. Further, Plaintiff asserts that Defendant has implement policies and procedures that disparately affect the resident population, particularly Hispanic and African Americans. Finally, Plaintiff asserts that Defendant has retaliating against him for filing previous complaints with Defendant and other agencies charged with investigating matters of discrimination in housing.

PRINTED NAME Ernesto R Rodriquez							
ADDRESS 3201 Sondra Drive, Apt. 512							
CITY/STATE/ZIP FORT WORTH TX 76107							
TELEPHONE 517 204 9278							

SJS 44 (TXND Rev. 2/10)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

initiating the civil docket sheet	(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON TH	E REVERSE OF THE PO				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			ONC D DO	DEFENDANTS		
Ernesto Rodriguez			GASIA DIK	Catholic Charities of Fort Worth		
			County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant	Tensor	
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	ONLY)	
· ·				CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
			LAND II	IVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)			
(-)						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Boy Onky	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
	3 Federal Question	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	ot a Party)	Citizen of This State		s State	
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2 1 2 Incorporated and P	rincipal Place 0 5 0 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	_		D 6 D 6	
•			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	[(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON OF	CASTONIO ANTENNA ESTA	
STANCON RACE NO SERVICE SERVIC		TS - Versi - Section -		1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -	1 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	© 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product 	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	[] 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERT	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	490 Cable/Sat TV	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	(1) 690 Other	earte of the state	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits		371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Product Liability	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
196 Franchise	Injury	SPRINONERSESSIANO)	& Disclosure Act T40 Railway Labor Act	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	1 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	e 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act	
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	THE RUNKS RATE OF THE PARTY OF	al .	900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth	D 462 Naturalization Application 1 463 Habeas Corpus -	3	Under Equal Access to Justice	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition			950 Constitutionality of	
	Other		O 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
•	440 Other Civil Rights		/**************************************			
Ø1 Original □ 2 R			J 4 Keinstated of LJ 3 anoth	eferred from 6 Multidister district Litigation	_ iviagistiate	
Proceeding S		Appellate Court atute under which you a	Reopened (spec are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	ity)	JUNETICIA	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	1	ause:				
VII. REQUESTED IT	V CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	777777777		y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	. 23		JURY DEMAND	: O Yes O No	
VIII. RELATED CAS PENDING OR CLO		ns) JUDGE ———		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		ancro.	7			
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE -	мад. л	UDGE	